

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004374

International filing date (day/month/year)
26.04.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)
28.04.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
C07D241/44, C07D401/12, A61K31/498, A61P9/00, A61P25/00, A61P35/00

Applicant
ACTELION PHARMACEUTICALS LTD

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Schuemacher, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7818



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004374

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 - This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:
 - a sequence listing
 - table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material:
 - in written format
 - in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing:
 - contained in the international application as filed.
 - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 - furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004374

Box No. II Priority

1. The following document has not been furnished:

- copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
 translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Additional observations, if necessary:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004374

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

- the entire international application,
 claims Nos. 8,10,11

because:

- the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
 the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 11 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):

see separate sheet

- the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
 no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 8,10
 the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:

- the written form has not been furnished
 does not comply with the standard
the computer readable form has not been furnished
 does not comply with the standard

- the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-*bis* of the Administrative Instructions.
 See separate sheet for further details

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/004374

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or
industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims	1-10
	No:	Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-10
	No:	Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-7,9
	No:	Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 8 and 10 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT). claim 11 does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined: in view of the wording of claim 11 referring to the description, which renders it difficult to determine the matter for which protection is sought, claim 11 fails to comply with the clarity and conciseness requirements of Art. 6 PCT (see also Rule 6.2(a) PCT) to such an extent that a meaningful search of this claim is impossible. Any statements made in this communication with respect to novelty and inventive step are thus made in the light of the compounds of formula (I) according to claim 1. The Applicant is thus invited to restrict the scope of the claims accordingly.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Novelty, Article 33(2) PCT:

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 00/47577 A (SMITHKLINE) 17 August 2000
- D2: WO 02/051838 A (ACTELION PHA) 4 July 2002
- D3: WO 00/47580 A (SMITHKLINE) 17 August 2000
- D4: WO 01/68609 A (ACTELION PHARMA LTD (CH)) 20 September 2001

With regard to the prior art disclosed in the documents cited above the subject-matter of the present application, i.e the quinoxalinone compounds of formula (I) according to claim 1, appears to fulfil the requirements of novelty, cf. Article 33(2) PCT:

The prior art documents D1-D4 are related to orexin receptor antagonists, however their structure differ from the claimed compounds on account of the absence of the 3-oxo-3,4-dihydro-quinoxalin-2ylmethyl moiety.

2. Inventive step, Article 33(3) PCT:

D1 and D3 appears to be the closest prior art since they disclose phenyl-urea derivatives that are orexin receptor antagonists.

The compounds of D1 and D3 differ from the current subject-matter on account of the

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/004374

missing 3-oxo-3,4-dihydro-quinoxalin-2ylmethyl moiety; these compounds have instead a 4-quinoline ring in D1 and a [1,5]naphthyridin-4-yl moiety in D3.

The technical problem to be solved by the present application may be seen in the provision of new orexin receptor antagonists.

The solution proposed in current claim 1 can be considered to involve an inventive step of the following reason (Article 33(3) PCT):

Due to the structural differences re the active compounds in D1/D3, it cannot be said with any degree of accuracy that the skilled person, faced with the problem of providing further novel compounds with orexin receptor antagonist activity, would have been unambiguously led to prepare the compounds of the present application.

For the purpose of assessing the inventive step during the International Preliminary Examination it is assumed that the claimed compounds do indeed possess the alleged activity. Thus and in absence of any more pertinent prior art, the present invention appears to involve an inventive step, based on the orexin receptor antagonist activity of the claimed compounds.

3. Industrial applicability:

For the assessment of the present claims 8 and 10 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

Re Item VI

Certain published documents

Application No Patent No	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
WO 2004/004733	15.01.2004	08.07.2003	09.07.2002

This document is related to orexin receptor antagonists, which have a condensed quinazolinone structure.